



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/589,957

08/18/2006

Aida Inbal

06478.1508

2117

22852

7590

02/19/2009

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER  
LLP

901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW  
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413

EXAMINER

HOBBS, LISA JOE

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1657

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

02/19/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/589,957	<b>Applicant(s)</b> INBAL ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Lisa J. Hobbs	<b>Art Unit</b> 1657	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 February 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 9-24 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 9-24 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)          | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

Art Unit: 1657

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Continued Examination***

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

### ***Specification***

The use of the trademarks, e.g., Matrigel, Affigel, etc., has been noted in this application. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

The added specification pages comprising the Brief Description of the Drawings (submitted 18 August 2006) recite many instances of "Factor XIII". As stated in the response of 20 February 2009, applicants specifically have taught the use of "activated Factor XIII" not the zymogen. Thus, the term should be applied properly to the descriptions of the drawings.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1657

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 9-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Deisher et al. (WO 98/51333), Skinner et al. (US 2003/0228371 A1) and Dardik et al. ((2003) Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol. 23: 1472-77). Deisher et al. teach methods and compositions useful in treatment of reducing ischemic reperfusion injury and reducing necrotic tissue damage and/or vascular injury resulting from ischemic reperfusion by administration of compositions of factor XIII. See Example 1, and page 1, lines 9-16 (instant claims 9, 11, 14, 16, and claims 19-24 in reference to diseases to be treated that are characterized by the presence of ischemic tissue, i.e. obstruction of the blood flow).

Art Unit: 1657

Deisher et al. teach methods for reducing ischemic reperfusion injury, reduction in tissue damage, vascular injury, myocardial infarction or stroke in a patient, wherein an effective amount of factor XIII is administered to a patient; and where the ischemic reperfusion injury addresses all diseases which are associated with disturbed blood perfusion and thus include instant claims that refer to stimulating the perfusion of ischemic tissues. See page 7, lines 25-28; page 9, lines 24-29; and page 11, lines 2-3; page 7, lines 10-17 (instant claims 9, 11, 14, 16, and 19-24 where all the diseases claimed suffer from disruption of a blood flow to the tissue, i.e. ischemia, for example).

Deisher et al. teach that the factor XIII compositions are administered to the patient as a bolus injection (p.7, lines 28-31 (instant claims 9, 11, 12, 14, 16, 17)). They also teach that the factor XIII compositions can be administered as gels, foams or bandages, i.e., topical administration (p.8, lines 5-7 (instant claims 10, 13, 15, 18)). They do not specifically teach administration of Factor XIIIa, however they teach administration of many variations of the Factor XIII complex, including the  $\alpha_2$  dimer (p. 18) and recombinant Factor XIII (p. 19).

Skinner et al. teach “methods, wherein the step of assaying comprises using the mouse MCAO model of cerebral ischemia. Also disclosed are methods utilizing models of new vessel angiogenesis, remodeling, reperfusion damage, and tests for whole body ischemia” [0127]. As well, they disclose “methods, wherein the step of assaying comprises using the mouse MCAO model of cerebral or myocardial ischemia. Also disclosed are “methods wherein the step of assaying comprises using the rat model, or any other animal model, such as mammalian models, of coronary artery occlusion for myocardial or cerebral ischemia. Also disclosed are methods utilizing animal models of new vessel angiogenesis, old vessel remodeling where collateral

Art Unit: 1657

anastomoses open wider to fill distal ends of blocked vessels, reperfusion damage where high-levels of accumulated byproducts of ischemia enter the distal ischemic tissues and/or osmosis-induced organelle or membrane damage occurs in ischemic tissues, and wherein any other organ is made ischemic or wherein all the organs and tissues are made ischemic by whole-body ischemia induced by temporary cardiac arrest (e.g., ventricular fibrillation) or blockade of flow (e.g., clamping of aortic output). There are a variety of other models that are adjunctive to the more conventional ischemia (vessel-occlusion)/infarction (engendered-necrosis) models. For example, infarction can be engendered by trauma from a mechanical blow, coagulopathy from a snake venom, and so on. Similarly ischemia can be evoked slowly by ameroid constrictors, produced in small distal vessels by infused microspheres, and so on. Furthermore these models can be modulated by global variants such as gene-knockout (e.g., myocardial receptor deletions), bio-behavioral modifications (e.g., psycho-social stress), and so on” [0082].

They do not teach the specific administration of Factor XIIIa, however they teach the use of molecules related to Factor XIIIa, stating “FPA is a fragment of soluble fibrinogen, which is released upon cleavage of fibrinogen by thrombin. The thrombin (IIa) catalyzed cleavage of soluble fibrinogen (Fbg) to form fibrin (Fbn) is the terminal proteolytic event in the coagulation cascade. These soluble Fbn monomers spontaneously polymerize to form an insoluble Fbn network which is stabilized by the factor XIIIa catalyzed crosslinking of lys and glu residues of a and g chains. This Fbn network is the major protein component of the haemostatic plug.” And, “FPA is the N-terminus of the Aa chain, which contains factor XIIIa crosslinking sites and 2 phosphorylation sites” [0131-33].

Art Unit: 1657

They teach that “[i]t is understood that infarctions, which are regions of necrotic tissue can arise in a variety of ways, but typically an infarction will arise from an ischemia, or a reduction of oxygen to a region of tissue. The loss of oxygen, and the subsequent reperfusion, should it occur, can cause necrotic tissue to arise. The disclosed methods are designed to reduce the effects of ischemic events, such as infarctions caused by reperfusion and/or oxygen deprivation. These types of events occur, for example, during a stroke, where there is blood vessel blockage in cerebral tissue causing ischemia or in a cardiac event, such as a heart attack, where blockage of a coronary artery leads to ischemia.” And, “[t]reatment of ischemia typically involves reduction of blockage. For example, nitroglycerin treats ischemia (opens clogged coronary vessels a little more than normal and makes angina pain from the ischemia go away). Suppression of blood flow causes ischemia. The mouse-MCAO model produces ischemia and sets the stage for infarction to occur at, typically 24-hrs. It is the infarction that is prevented/treated, as the flow has been re-established at the time of the injection the disclosed compositions and the tissue is therefore no longer ischemic. Anti-infarction molecules are sometimes referred to as "neuro-protectant drugs." There are a variety of different types of molecules that can have FPA or bradkinin anti-infarction activity.” [0195-6].

Dardik et al. teach that factor XIII participates in tissue remodeling and wound healing, and processes that involve angiogenesis, where in vivo or in vitro models were used to examine the role of factor XIII in angiogenesis, i.e, migration, proliferation of cells. See page 1447, left column, third paragraph; page 1475, left column, second paragraph; and see *Discussion* section on page 1476. This reference does not specifically teach the treatment for stimulating the perfusion of ischemic tissues.

Art Unit: 1657

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Deisher et al. Skinner et al. and Dardik et al. to achieve the invention as claimed. As currently recited, a method of administering activated Factor XIII (Factor XIIIa) is claimed, with the result that perfusion of ischemic tissues and proliferation of new blood vessels in ischemic tissues is stimulated. As taught by the prior art, it was known that various components of the fibrinogen cascade could function to stimulate perfusion of ischemic tissues and stimulate new blood vessels. One would have a reasonable expectation of success and be motivated to use any of the known compounds that would act in this cascade in that the prior art directly addresses that multiple molecules can have anti-infarction, i.e., increased perfusion and increased blood vessel formation, activity.

### ***Conclusion***

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lisa J. Hobbs whose telephone number is 571-272-3373. The examiner can normally be reached on Hotelling - Generally, 9-6 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jon P. Weber can be reached on 571-272-0925. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.



Art Unit: 1657

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Lisa J. Hobbs/  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 1657

ljh